

M1 INTERMEDIATE ECONOMETRICS

Binary choice models

Koen Jochmans François Poinas

2024 — 2025

This deck of slides goes over the binary-choice model and estimation by maximum likelihood.

The relevant chapter in Hansen is 25.

H25.7 and H25.8 concern asymptotics which we will deal with later.

Conditional on covariates X , Bernoulli variable $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ have success probability

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X).$$

This CEF is bounded between zero and one and so is nonlinear, in general.

The exception is when the CEF can be saturated.

The simplest example has a single binary regressor $X \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = \beta_1 X + \beta_0 (1 - X) = \beta_0 + (\beta_1 - \beta_0)X$$

for $\beta_0 = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = 0)$ and $\beta_1 = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = 1)$.

This can be generalized when all regressors take on a small number of possible values, but the number of parameters to estimate grows very quickly.

A parsimonious parametrization for binary choice that restricts the CEF to the unit-interval is

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = G(\varphi(X, \beta))$$

for a chosen function φ and CDF G .

In practice the most popular choice for $\varphi(x, \beta)$ is $x'\beta$, in which case we get

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = G(X'\beta);$$

the logit model and the probit model are the most popular versions of this specification.

They differ only in the choice of G .

We have previously shown that NLLS can be used to estimate the parameters in binary-choice models.

Also know that NLLS is not the optimal choice.

The optimal choice is maximum likelihood.

Maximum likelihood is a general technique that we introduce through this example.

Suppose that we observe a random sample $(Y_1, X_1), \dots, (Y_n, X_n)$.

The sequence Y_1, \dots, Y_n is a sequence of zeros and ones.

Conditional on X_1, \dots, X_n the probability of observing this particular sequence is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1 | X_i)^{\{Y_i=1\}} (1 - \mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1 | X_i))^{\{Y_i=0\}}.$$

With our model for the conditional probability this becomes

$$L_n(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n G(X_i' \beta)^{\{Y_i=1\}} (1 - G(X_i' \beta))^{\{Y_i=0\}}.$$

For any b , $L_n(b)$ given the probability of observing the sample in front of us if the data were generated with $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = G(X'b)$. This is the likelihood function.

We estimate β by maximizing this probability.

The MLE of β is $\hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}$. Thus,

$$L_n(\hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}) \geq L_n(b)$$

for any b .

It is usually easier to work with

$$\ell_n(b) = \log L_n(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \log G(X_i' b) + (1 - Y_i) \log(1 - G(X_i' b)).$$

This is the log-likelihood function.

In regular situations, $\hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}$ solves the first-order condition

$$\frac{\partial \ell_n(b)}{\partial b} = 0.$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell_n(b)}{\partial b} &= \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i X_i \frac{g(X_i' b)}{G(X_i' b)} - (1 - Y_i) \frac{g(X_i' b)}{1 - G(X_i' b)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{g(X_i' b)}{G(X_i' b) (1 - G(X_i' b))} (Y_i - G(X_i' b)). \end{aligned}$$

This you will recognize from the set of slides on NLLS.

The parameter β may not be the ultimate object of interest.

In our model (for continuous X)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)}{\partial X} = \beta g(X' \beta),$$

and we may be interested in such things as

$$\theta = \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)}{\partial X} \right) = \mathbb{E} (\beta g(X' \beta)).$$

(This is not the same as $\beta g(\mathbb{E}(X')\beta)$, which is not very interesting.)

An estimator of θ is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}} g(X_i' \hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}).$$